UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,862	01/22/2004	Wen-Ping Ying	AWS894.US; CING-132	8510
AT&T Legal Department - Moazzam Attn: Patent Docketing Room 2A-207 One AT&T Way Bedminster, NJ 07921			EXAMINER	
			CHRISTENSEN, SCOTT B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/763,862	YING ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Scott Christensen	2444		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition accomposition accomposition and accomposition accompo	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2444

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 9/17/2009.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/17/2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/17/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. On pages 8-9, Applicant argues the rejection of claim 1. More specifically, Applicant argues that Oomen does not disclose one of a logical or geographic location.

However, Applicant has not amended any of claims 1, 10, or 19 to further limit the term "logical location." Accordingly, there is still no requirement that the logical location of claims 1, 10, and 19 to be a "status" as opposed to being a standard network address. Thus, the Response to Arguments presented in the Office Action issued 6/19/2009 applies to this argument.

5. On pages 9-14, Applicant argues the rejection of the remaining claims, as the claims now depend from one of claims 24-26, where the "logical location is a status of the user."

Art Unit: 2444

First, it is noted that there is no requirement as to what constitutes a "status."

While a status may not necessarily be interpreted directly as a network address, the term is still not limited to the use as in the instant specification. Thus, a simple presence service would teach the "status" as claimed, as a presence service would give a status of "available" or "not available."

Further, none of claims 24-26 actually requires that the location information includes the logical location. Rather, the claims simply modify the logical location, where the "location information is one or more of a geographical location and a logical location," as in, for example, claim 1. Accordingly, as it would have been well known to assign TCP/IP addresses according to geographic locations, it would have been obvious to have the location information being a geographic location.

Applicant should amend the instant claim to clearly require what information is within the location information. For example, what constitutes a "geographical location" and what constitutes a "status."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2444

7. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oommen et al. (Oommen), US PG pub. No. 2003/0103484.

With regard to claim 1, Oommen discloses a method comprising:
 receiving one of a Short Message Service, Enhanced Message Service,
 Multimedia Message Service, and SyncML message (Oomen: Page 6, Paragraph 0062);

extracting a device identifier from the message (Oomen: Page 6, Paragraph 0062); and

applying the device identifier to determine a device status (Oomen: Page 6, Paragraph 0062. Using a SyncML, unique device identity as well as the capabilities of the device and device information are ascertained), including location information (Oomen: Page 3, Paragraph 0025),

wherein the location information is one or more of a geographical location and a logical (Oomen: Any location information, especially within a network, would be either a logical or geographic location. For instance, network addresses, such as that in TCP/IP and many other protocols are logical locations.).

9. With regard to claim 10, the instant claim is substantially similar to the invention claimed in claim 1, and is rejected for substantially similar reasons.

Art Unit: 2444

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 2, 12, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oomen in view of US 2003/0126209 to Wen et al., hereafter referred to as "Wen."
- 12. With regard to claim 24, Oomen discloses the invention as substantially claimed except that the location information is one or more of a geographic location and a logical location (which language appears in claim 1), where the logical location is a status of a user.

However, Wen discloses that it was known to have TCP/IP addresses correspond to geographic locations (Wen: Abstract, Paragraph [0001] and Paragraph [0006]).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to have the address of the device of Oomen have location information that is a geographic location, as in TCP/IP according to Wen. It is noted that claim 24 makes no requirement that the location information includes the logical location. Rather, claim 24 serves to modify the logical location, which is not required to be part of the location information.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that in assigning addresses, having addresses assigned, in part, based on geographic locations ensures

Art Unit: 2444

that addresses can be assigned in a decentralized fashion without collaboration between the assignors. Thus, each area would have a range of addresses that can be assigned to nodes in that geographic area, where the assignor can be sure that the addresses that are assigned will not be assigned by any other assignor in another geographic location. Further, Wen discloses functionality that can be performed when the address of the nodes corresponds to a geographic location.

- 13. With regard to Claim 2, Oommen as modified by Wen teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising: extracting an International Mobile Equipment Identity from the message (Oomen: Page 6, Paragraph [0062]).
- 14. With regard to claim 25, the instant claim is substantially similar to the invention claimed in claim 24, and is rejected for substantially similar reasons.
- 15. With regard to Claim 12, the instant claim is substantially similar to the invention claimed in claim 2, and is rejected for substantially similar reasons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (Chen), US PG Pub. No. 2005/0153741 in view of Oommen.
- 17. With regard to Claim 19 Chen discloses a communication arrangement comprising:

- a Short Message Service Center (SMSC) (Chen: Fig. 5, 517);
- a permissions facility (Chen: Fig. 5, 523); and

a network element configured to receive a Short Message Service message from a device via the SMSC (Chen: Fig. 5, 519), apply the device identifier to locate device status information (Chen: Fig. 7, 718 & ¶0057, lines 29-35), and interact with the permissions facility to determine permissions to apply to service requests originating from the device (Chen: Fig. 7, 716 & ¶0057, lines 25-28).

Chen does not teach expressly extracting a device identifier from the message, location information, or that the location information is one or more of a geographic location and a logical location.

However, Oomen teaches extracting a device identifier from the message, location information, or that the location information is one or more of a geographic location and a logical location (Oomen: Page 6, Paragraph [0062] and Page 3, Paragraph [0025]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Oommen's teachings of ascertaining the device identity, capabilities and information with the teachings of Chen, for the purpose of facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities. Chen provides motivation to do so, by enabling a network to detect when a subscriber changes phones and uses the same subscriber identification (SIM) card as well as a subscriber using someone else's SIM card in their mobile handset (Chen: Page 1, Paragraph 0008).

Art Unit: 2444

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

18. Claims 20-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Oomen and further in view of Wen.

19. With regard to claim 26, Chen as modified by Oomen teaches that the invention as substantially claimed except that the location information is one or more of a geographic location and a logical location (which language appears in claim 19), where the logical location is a status of a user.

However, Wen discloses that it was known to have TCP/IP addresses correspond to geographic locations (Wen: Abstract, Paragraph [0001] and Paragraph [0006]).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to have the address of the device of Chen as modified by Oomen have location information that is a geographic location, as in TCP/IP according to Wen. It is noted that claim 24 makes no requirement that the location information includes the logical location. Rather, claim 24 serves to modify the logical location, which is not required to be part of the location information.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that in assigning addresses, having addresses assigned, in part, based on geographic locations ensures that addresses can be assigned in a decentralized fashion without collaboration between the assignors. Thus, each area would have a range of addresses that can be assigned to nodes in that geographic area, where the assignor can be sure that the

addresses that are assigned will not be assigned by any other assignor in another geographic location. Further, Wen discloses functionality that can be performed when the address of the nodes corresponds to a geographic location.

- 20. With regard to Claim 20, Chen as modified by Oommen and Wen teaches the network element further configured to extract a subscriber identifier from the message and apply the subscriber identifier to determine subscriber services (Chen: Fig, 7, 714, ¶0057 and Fig 6, ¶0047).
- 21. With regard to Claim 21, Chen as modified by Oommen and Wen, as applied to claim 26, above, teaches the invention as substantially claimed except the network element further configured to extract an International Mobile Equipment Identity from the message.

However, Oommen teaches extracting an Internet Mobile Equipment Identity from the message (Oomen: Page 6, ¶0062).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Oommen's teachings of ascertaining the device unique identity with the teachings of Chen.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been for the purpose of facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities (see Oommen, ¶0002). Chen provides motivation to do so, by enabling a network to detect when a subscriber changes phones and uses the same subscriber identification (SIM) card as well as a

Art Unit: 2444

subscriber using someone else's SIM card in their mobile handset (see Chen, Page 1, ¶0008).

- 22. With regard to Claim 22, the instant claim is rejected for substantially similar reasons as claim 21.
- 23. With regard to Claim 23, Chen as modified by Oommen and Wen teaches that the network element comprising a deny database, the deny database comprising device status information (Chen: Fig. 5, 525, ¶0057).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 24. Claims 3, 4, 7-9, 11, 13, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oommen in view of Wen and further in view of Chen.
- 25. With regard to Claim 3, Oomen as modified by Wen teaches the invention as substantially claimed except setting network access permissions according to the device status for a device corresponding to the device identifier.

In the same field of endeavor, Chen teaches setting network access permissions according to device status for a device corresponding to the device identifier (Chen: Fig. 6, ¶0047).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Chen's teachings of registering a mobile device according to the received information corresponding to the device

Art Unit: 2444

identification with teachings of Oommen, for the purpose of enabling a network to detect when a subscriber changes phones and uses the same subscriber identification (SIM) card as well as a subscriber using someone else's SIM card in their mobile handset (see Chen, Page 1, ¶0008). Oommen provides motivation to do so, by facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities (see Oommen, ¶0002).

26. With regard to Claim 4, Oommen as modified by Wen teaches the invention as substantially claimed except applying the device identifier to a deny database to determine the device status.

In the same field of endeavor, Chen teaches, (see e.g. Fig. 5, 525, ¶0057) the device status is determined based on its identification and the information in service database.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Chen's teachings of device status determination based on its identification information in the service database with teachings of Oommen, for the purpose of enabling a network to detect when a subscriber changes phones and uses the same subscriber identification (SIM) card as well as a subscriber using someone else's SIM card in their mobile handset (see Chen, Page 1, ¶0008). Oommen provides motivation to do so, by facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities (see Oommen, ¶0002).

Art Unit: 2444

27. With regard to Claim 7, Oommen as modified by Wen teaches the invention as substantially claimed except extracting a subscriber identifier from the message; applying the subscriber identifier to identify subscriber services; and applying permissions for access to the subscriber services by the subscriber according to the device status.

In the same field of endeavor, Chen teaches, (see e.g. Fig, 7, 714, ¶0057) extracting the Subscriber Information from the message received (see e.g. Fig. 6, ¶0047) a server registering the mobile device according to the received information corresponding to the Subscriber identification (IMSI) and device information (IMEI).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Chen's teachings of registering a mobile device according to the received Information corresponding to the extracted Subscriber Identification (IMSI) and (IMEI) with teachings of Oommen, for the purpose of enabling a network to detect when a subscriber changes phones and uses the same subscriber identification (SIM) card as well as a subscriber using someone else's SIM card in their mobile handset (see Chen, Page 1, ¶0008). Oommen provides motivation to do so, by facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities (see Oommen, ¶0002).

28. With regard to Claim 8, Oommen as modified by Wen and Chen as applied to claim 7 above substantially disclose the invention as claimed. Chen further discloses: extracting at least one of an International Mobile Subscriber Identity and an Integrated

Art Unit: 2444

Circuit Card ID from the (see e.g. Fig. 7, 714, ¶0057) extracting the Subscriber Information from the message received message (IMSI).

- 29. With regard to Claim 9, Oommen as modified by Wen and Chen as applied to claim 7 above substantially disclose the invention as claimed. Chen further discloses: applying the subscriber identifier to locate subscriber information (see e.g. Fig. 6, ¶0047) a server registering the mobile device according to the received information corresponding to the Subscriber identification (IMSI) and device information (IMEI).
- 30. With regard to claim 11, the instant claim is substantially similar to claim 3, and is rejected for substantially similar reasons.
- 31. With regard to Claim 13, Oommen as modified by Wen as applied to claim 10 above substantially disclose the invention as claimed. However Oommen does not disclose logic to cause the applying of the device identifier to a deny database to determine the device status

In the same field of endeavor, Chen teaches (see e.g. Fig. 5, 525, ¶0057) the device status is determined based on its identification and the information in service database

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Chen's teachings of device status determination based on its identification information and the information in the service

Art Unit: 2444

database with teachings of Oommen, for the purpose of enabling a network to detect when a subscriber changes phones and uses the same subscriber identification (SIM) card as well as a subscriber using someone else's SIM card in their mobile handset (see Chen, Page 1, ¶0008). Oommen provides motivation to do so, by facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities (see Oommen, ¶0002).

32. With regard to Claim 16, Oommen as modified by Wen as applied to claim 10 above substantially disclose the invention as claimed. However Oommen does not discloses logic to cause the extracting of a subscriber identifier from the message, the applying of the subscriber identifier to identify subscriber services, and the applying of permissions to the subscriber services according to the device status.

In the same field of endeavor, Chen teaches (see e.g. Fig. 7, 714, ¶0057) extracting the Subscriber Information from the message received, (see e.g. Fig 6, ¶0047) subscriber registration and subscriber services are identified based on association of the IMSI and the service database IMEI is used to determine the status of the device in conjunction with the service database.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Chen's teachings of extraction of the Subscriber information and device information for the purpose of device status and subscriber service determination in association with the database with teachings of Oommen, for the purpose of enabling a network to detect when a subscriber changes phones and uses the same subscriber identification (SIM) card as well as a subscriber

Art Unit: 2444

using someone else's SIM card in their mobile handset (see Chen, Page 1, ¶0008).

Oommen provides motivation to do so, by facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities (see Oommen, ¶0002).

- 33. With regard to Claim 17, Oommen as modified by Wen and Chen as applied to claim 16 above substantially disclose the invention as claimed. Chen further discloses: subscriber identifier is at least one of International Mobile Subscriber Identity and Integrated Circuit Card ID (see e.g. Fig. 7, 714, ¶0057) extracting the Subscriber Information from the message received message (IMSI).
- 34. With regard to Claim 18, Oommen as modified by Wen and Chen as applied to claim 16 above substantially disclose the invention as claimed. Chen further discloses: logic to cause the applying of the device identifier to a deny database to determine the device status (see e.g. Fig. 6, ¶0047) a server registering the mobile device according to the received information corresponding to the device information (IMEI) in association with the database.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

35. Claims 5, 6, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oommen in view of Wen and further in view of Corrigan et al. (Corrigan), US PG Pub. No. 2002/0187775.

Art Unit: 2444

36. With regard to Claim 5, Oommen as modified by Wen as applied to claim 1 above substantially discloses the invention as claimed. However Oommen does not explicitly teach: receiving the message via a Short Message Peer to Peer interface.

In the same field of endeavor, Corrigan teaches, (see e.g. Page 7, ¶0178, lines 6-11) utilizing the Short Message Peer to Peer interface for reception of the Short Message Service.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Corrigan's teachings of reception of the SMS via the Short Message Peer to Peer interface with teachings of Oommen, for Optimal delivery of services over various bearers (see Corrigan, Page 1, ¶0013).

Oommen provides motivation to do so, by facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities (see Oommen, ¶0002).

37. With regard to Claim 6, Oommen as modified by Wen as applied to claim 1 above substantially discloses the invention as claimed. However Oommen does not explicitly teach: communicating the device status to a customer care facility.

In the same field of endeavor, Corrigan teaches, (see e.g. Page 3, ¶0072) the portal provides customer care personnel access to provisioning database.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art at the time the applicant's invention was made to combine Corrigan's teachings of the portal providing customer care personnel with access to provisioning database with teachings of Oommen, for Optimal delivery of services over various bearers (see Corrigan, Page

Art Unit: 2444

1, ¶0013). Oommen provides motivation to do so, by facilitating retrieval of mobile device configuration or capabilities (see Oommen, ¶0002).

- 38. With regard to Claim 14, Oommen as modified by Wen and Corrigan as applied to claim 10 above substantially disclose the invention as claimed. Corrigan further discloses logic to cause the receiving of the message via a Short Message Peer to Peer interface (see e.g. Page 7, ¶0178, lines 6-11) utilizing the Short Message Peer to Peer interface for reception of the Short Message Service.
- 39. With regard to Claim 15, Oommen as modified by Wen and Corrigan as applied to claim 10 above substantially disclose the invention as claimed. Corrigan further discloses logic to cause the communicating of device status to a customer care facility (see e.g. Page 3, ¶0072) the portal provides customer care personnel access to provisioning database.

Art Unit: 2444

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Christensen whose telephone number is (571)270-1144. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information With regard to the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444 /William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444